

## World Data Service for Geophysics

## Marine Geology Data

*Jenkins, C., Haxby, W., and W. Ryan (2000): A Hypercube of Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Marine Geological and Geophysical Data. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA.*  
[doi:10.7289/V5057CV7](https://doi.org/10.7289/V5057CV7)

## About the Hypercube

**Abstract**

The Deep-Sea Drilling Project legacy data on the lithologies and physical properties of core recoveries is reprocessed into a uniformly formatted, integrated dataset which can be worked on in a wide range of software applications for query, analysis, mapping and visualization. The goal is to create a dataset which is able to be used efficiently across many science disciplines, especially in the fields of global change, ocean management and earth sciences research. The hypercube data model allows scientists and others to easily comprehend and work with the data layout and content. The integration has employed methods of standardization, linguistic parsing, error trapping, uncertainty analysis, and presentation that have developed and been validated over a decade of use in dbSEABED, for marine ecology, resources, engineering, research and survey. Nevertheless integration of the DSDP dataset has been challenging, not all the DSDP data could be treated successfully, and future upgrades of this product can be expected.

**How to Cite:**

*Jenkins, C., Haxby, W., and W. Ryan (2000): A Hypercube of Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Marine Geological and Geophysical Data. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA. doi:10.7289/V5057CV7*

**About the Data Set:****File formats representing the hypercube**

- From this web site, four types of data products can be obtained, expressions of the hypercube:
  - Text files ready for import to a wide variety of software applications including GIS, array, and database
  - ArcMAP / ArcSCENE shapefiles, both geographic XYZ and geologic time XYT coordinates
  - MS Access database of relationally-linked data tables with an example query
  - A Google Earth top-level visual indexing of the data
- File naming is as follows:
  - For text files: DSD\_XXX\*.txt DSD stands for Deep-Sea Drilling Project; XXX stands for the data processing stream (e.g., 'EXT'); the optional \* stands for either N for NGDC data delivery format, or C for compressed components format.
  - Shapefiles generated by ArcCatalog have prefix "XY#" to the front, where # is the type of vertical dimension, Z for depth, T for geologic time.

## Specialized outputs formatted for use in GIS

- These have formats arranged so that the sample XYZT coordinates lie at the front, housekeeping next, then attribute data following. Otherwise they have the same data as earlier stage equivalents.
  - DSD\_EXTN.TXT - Extracted data, taken from inputs with little processing necessary. Mainly from analytical results in numerical and coded formats.
  - DSD\_PRSN.TXT - Parsed results, based on the descriptive word-based data.
  - DSD\_CLCN.TXT - Results from further calculations following the extracted / parsed results. Mainly for abstruse parameters, chiefly geoacoustic, geotechnical.
  - DSD\_ONEN.TXT - merged results of the EXT, PRS, CLC processing streams. The merging is done by priority that favours PRS over EXT over CLC, where more than one is present. Except for grainsizes, the process operates per parameter. For grainsizes, the most complete suite of grainsize data (gvl, snd, mud, grsz, srtng) is taken from the PRS,EXT,CLC data, prioritized where two or more equal suites are present.
  - DSD\_CMPN.TXT - Component and feature abundances and intensities, computed from inputs such as grain counts, visual descriptions, etc. Component abundances sum to at most 100%, feature intensities (suffix "\_F") are each limited to 100%.

## Outputs specially formatted

- DSD\_CMPC.TXT - condensed components/features data for use in servers like GeoMapApp which draw on the data using a script.
- DSD\_AGES.TXT - special listing of age identifications in a format compatible with the accompanying reformatted Lazarus et al. (1995) listing.

## Bundled zip file delivery

- All the above files are held in DSDP\_2NGDC\_Apr07.zip. There is no folder structure involved, so they can be dragged/extracted to any location.

## GIS formatted outputs (ArcMap, ArcView, ArcScene)

### Using the GIS formatted outputs

- The text files above can be plotted, queried, sub-setted, symbolized, gridded in GIS systems including those of the ESRI suite. Shapefiles for the DSD\_PRSN.TXT and DSD\_CMPN.TXT series have been prepared and are served here. Only in ArcScene will the 3-dimensional aspect of the files be visible. Notice that for correct handedness in GIS, depths below sealevel and geologic time are negative (altitudes, time's arrow).
- A global baseline to start with is the low-resolution public ESRI country.shp layer of national outlines. Users will later be able to make gridded or mesh topographies (bathymetries) to 'hang' the DSDP cores below.
- The Shapefile sets are either in physical depth XYZ or geologic time XYT coordinate systems, using WGS84 datums. They are downloadable in zipped form from XYZ\_Coordinates and XYT\_Coordinates (337Mb each unzipped). The ArcView 3.x GIS also opens these shapefiles.

- Legends suitable for the data can be obtained from the dbSEABED site <http://instaar.colorado.edu/~jenkinsc/dbseabed/legends/>. There are separate collections for ArcView 3.x ('Avls') and for ArcMap9.x/ArcScene ('Lyrs'), point legends only.

## How to Use the Data Hypercube of DSDP Legacy Data

### GLOBAL SCALE DATA ANALYSIS

Bulk statistical and visual analysis of lithologic data is possible. Import the files into an analysis package such as Matlab, ArcMap, GMT, OceanDataView.

#### Questions can be addressed:

- How have carbonate biogenic facies responded at global scales to past climate changes ?
- What has the sedimentation rate been for the first 10 My on newly formed crust through the last 70 My ?
- Where did carbonate facies change most either side of the severe Eocene-Oligocene paleoclimate/paleoceanographic change ?

### LITHOLOGIES AND THE GEOPHYSICS

Seismic sections need groundtruthing from lithological records, to support time-stratigraphic interpretations of the images. The lithological data can be applied directly to this task, especially as chronostratigraphic ages are associated. Psicat, gINT, and other software can be used to create lithologic columns that can be pictorially laid alongside seismic profiles. Scale corrections will be necessary to allow for velocities.

#### KML it

The data cube is comprehensible to people who are scientifically curious, but not equipped to handle the over-complex data formats that are generated by expeditions. It is an important role for scientists to make their data available and comprehensible to the public. With this data structure, browse spatially to find out what the earth's seabed is made of, even when buried deep. Students can make figures for projects. To help with this a Google Earth visual index to the data has been made.

- It may be particularly interesting to combine that with other Google Earth data sets:
  - Tectonic Plates of the World (<http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/nca/virtualtour/global.php>)
  - Sediment Thicknesses
  - Ocean Dynamic Heights (<http://bbs.keyhole.com/ubb/showflat.php/Cat/0/Number/311836/>)
  - Google Ocean (<http://www.justmagic.com/GM-GE.html#Anchor-00006>)

**The DSDP Hypercube is provided as a KML file.**

## Technical Explanation & Further Documentation of the Data Hypercube of DSDP Legacy Data

### Why a Data Hypercube?

The DSDP led to many revolutionary advances in our understanding of earth and ocean history over 200 million years. Much of the observational data that underpinned the science is contained in this project. The project has reformed the data to allow bulk analysis and

visualisation of trends in global-scale earth history, as seen through lithologies.

This was best achieved by forming a multidimensional data structure - a hypercube. Until now re-processing, large scale computer visualization and analysis of the data was not possible. It was held pagewise as a type of written-corelog, unsuitable for spreadsheets, geographic information systems and databases. To make the dataset available for use in such applications it has had to be brought into a cellular format, and descriptive data has had to be parsed linguistically. The other major issues are data sparsity (the sheer amount of null values across the samples\*parameters matrix), database granularity, and data quality control.

By describing the data as a 'hypercube' we want to convey that the whole of these geological data data can now be cut, viewed and analysed in many different planes, by the XYZT coordinates (longitude, latitude, depth bSL, depth bSF, geologic time) and also by one parameter against another. If people think of a multi-dimensional cube of information, admittedly with many gaps, then they will be correct.

Of course, a poly-dimensional data hypercube (this one is 4 dimensional at minimum, XYZT) cannot truly be imagined. Likewise, data products from that concept of the data have to live in the reality of various common software applications. Hypercubes are rendered to humans by operations such as projecting to planes or volumes, and 'splatting' (e.g., Yang 2003). We happen to render the data in ways that are strongly spatially directed, but inter-parameter splats are equally possible with the set.

In the NGDC CDROM, the best organized collection of DSDP data, the database granularity remained at drillsite "hole" level, unless items were extracted manually from the page-long hole descriptions. With this project, the data per core section is broken out into separate data items, a granularity of approximately 1.5m vertically. However, in many instances we have been able to discern and treat observations on individual small segregations and fractions within the core sections, giving a granularity on the scale of centimetres.

The data sparsity of this project is considerable. Only about ###% of the parameter\*sample matrix holds non-null values. This is partly because not all observations are made on all samples in the on-board or lab programs. However it is also due to that fact that not all the lithologic descriptions could be parsed successfully, especially where the prose was irregular. Some of the analytical results will also have failed at processing quality filters.

The illustration along-side this introduction and others at THIS\_PAGE show what is possible now, using the hypercube. Basically, with this in place, it is possible to voxelize aspects of ocean lithologic history, akin to the gridding on flat maps.

## Time Coordinate

Geological time in the original DSDP data was given in terms of period, stage, and zone biostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic terms. Only rarely were absolute isotopic or paleomagnetic ages attached to materials. Unfortunately, but inevitably, the geologic time scales in use evolved during DSDP, and on-board age determinations were interim. Lazarus et al. (1995) developed age-depth models for 88 of the drilled holes according to one time scale and those models are refined, extended and served now through Chronos (2007). The revisions of time scales and time terms were not propagated systematically through the DSDP data, though some post-cruise datings were merged in during creation of the CDROM compilation.

We have taken the CDROM age determinations such as "Early Oligocene" and applied the International Stratigraphic Commission (ICS) timescale (Gradstein et al. 2004) to those names. This is a simplistic approach, admittedly, but we look to qualified geochronologists to replace these ages with better calibrated values in the future. The assessed scale of error in the method is of the order of <1My (exceptionally up to 4My) to judge from successive revisions of stage absolute ages (Gradstein et al. 2004).

The method of parsing the age terms was as follows. Age values are encountered in the CDROM 'AGEPROF' or 'PALEO' lines, given usually as a stage name, perhaps with a division like "early". In the dbSEABED dictionary the chronological unit names are assigned absolute values (e.g. entry, "rupeln,Rupelian Stage,date,28.4,33.9,0.1, 0.1") of youngest, oldest, youngest uncertainty, oldest uncertainty. The unit is millions of years (my). The parser uses the youngest/oldest limits to create a code like "28.4y:o33.9" (with the uncertainties e.g., "28.4[0.1]y:o33.9[0.1]"). An analysed age such as K-Ar dating will appear in EXT (e.g., "0.0023[0.0001]y:o"), a biostratigraphic age in PRS. Where an age range is given, such as "upper\_oligocene to lower\_miocene" the two age ranges are combined, giving in this case the result "15.97t:b28.4".

So that data can be plotted to GIS, the code is transferred to a single central value in the preparation of the DSD\_\*\*\*n and Shapefile filesets. So that all samples have a time coordinate, just as they have a geographic coordinate, an age-depth index was built and was used to spread the age values throughout entire the DSD\_\*\*\*n and Shapefile filesets. Undated samples took the age of the sample next above.

## Vertical Coordinate

- The vertical datums used during data collection and archiving have been an impediment to creating a global analysable structure from ocean lithologic data. In this project we retain the original values, but the prime vertical coordinate is altitude relative to present sealevel. By using altitudes we keep the proper handedness of the data. Of course, sealevel is an inexact datum, but the variations are unlikely to be an issue except for closed-spaced or re-occupied DSDP holes.
- We attach a sequential number - Sample Key - to each observed unit, segregation, sample, phase or fraction: in short to each different analysed material. Some samples are subject to many different analyses, and then one key applies to all those analyses. High value is obtained from this because it allows inter-parameter comparisons. When an observation is made at different scales, such as a visual description versus a smear slide, that counts as different material and key.
- A code for the DSDP Leg, Site, Hole, Core and Section is given for each material (e.g., "DSDP:23:310:A:15:6") and can be used in relational databases. Other details on the sectioning and labelling of the core materials is provided by NOAA (2000).

## Process Trail

A feature of dbSEABED outputs is the "DataType" or Audit Code. In first-level outputs it holds record of the data themes that contribute to an output record, for instance "LTH.COL.GTC" for lithology, colour, geotechnical. It will be different for extracted and parsed outputs. On merging these, as is done for the ONE and WWD output levels, DataType records whether a parameter is extracted (i.e., analysed, numeric) or parsed (i.e., descriptive, word-based), or specially calculated (estimated). A sequence like "PPPxPPxxxPEEEPExxxPE" shows the EXT, PRS, CLC origins of the next 20 parameters, from 'Gravel' to 'GeolAge'.

## Additional Documentation

- Detailed documentation of dbSEABED methods, standards and outputs can be found on the web, especially under the usSEABED EEZ-mapping project. Good point-of-entry URL's are the Processing methods and FAQ web pages of Jenkins (2005a,b).
- A document describing details of the processing of the DSDP data is available at NOAA (2000b).

## References for the Data Hypercube of DSDP Legacy Data

### References

- Yang, L., 2003. Visual Exploration of Large Relational Data Sets through 3D Projections and Footprint Splatting. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Engng.*, 15(6), 1460-1471.
- Lazarus, D., Spencer-Cervato, C., Pika-Biolzi, M., Beckmann, J.P., von Salis, K., Hilbrecht, H. and Thierstein, H., 1995. Revised Chronology of Neogene DSDP Holes from the World Ocean. *Ocean Drilling Program Technical Note # 24*. doi:10.2973/odp.tn.24.1995.
- NOAA, 2000a. Core Data from the Deep Sea Drilling Project. *WDC for MGG, Boulder Seafloor Series volume 1*. [CDROM; Deep Sea Drilling Project (1989): Archive of Core and Site/Hole Data and Photographs from the Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP). *National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA*. doi:10.7289/V54M92G2.]
- Chronos, 2007. *Chronos*. Iowa State University, Department of Geological and Atmospheric Sciences [Online: <http://www.chronos.org/>]
- Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., and Smith, A.G., Agterberg, F.P., Bleeker, W., Cooper, R.A., Davydov, V., Gibbard, P., Hinnov, L.A., House, M.R., Lourens, L., Luterbacher, H.P., McArthur, J., Melchin, M.J., Robb, L.J., Shergold, J., Villeneuve, M., Wardlaw, B.R., Ali, J., Brinkhuis, H., Hilgen, F.J., Hooker, J., Howarth, R.J., Knoll, A.H., Laskar, J., Monechi, S., Plumb, K.A., Powell, J., Raffi, I., Röhl, U., Sadler, P., Sanfilippo, A., Schmitz, B., Shackleton, N.J., Shields, G.A., Strauss, H., Van Dam, J., van Kolfschoten, T., Veizer, J., and

Wilson, D., 2004. **A Geologic Time Scale 2004**. Cambridge University Press, 589 pages.

- NOAA, 2000b. **Documentation files for DSDP data**. In: NOAA (2000a). [CDROM; Deep Sea Drilling Project (1989): Archive of Core and Site/Hole Data and Photographs from the Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP). **National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA**. doi:10.7289/V54M92G2.]
- Jenkins, C.J., 2005a. dbSEABED. In: Reid, J.M., Reid, J.A., Jenkins, C.J., Hastings, M.E., Williams, S.J. and Poppe, L.J., 2005. usSEABED: Atlantic Coast Offshore Surficial Sediment Data Release, version 1.0. **U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 118**. [Online: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/2005/118/htmldocs/dbseabed.htm>]
- Jenkins, C.J., 2005b. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) about dbSEABED. In: Reid, J.M., Reid, J.A., Jenkins, C.J., Hastings, M.E., Williams, S.J. and Poppe, L.J., 2005, usSEABED: Atlantic Coast Offshore Surficial Sediment Data Release, version 1.0. **U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 118**. [Online: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/2005/118/htmldocs/faqs.htm>]

### Version Notes for the Data Hypercube of DSDP Legacy Data

- This is delivery v1.1 to MGG NGDC in Boulder. (v1.0 was initial assessment). The format of files may change if required by methods of serving / display.
- Some aspects of the data that could do with further development.
  - The main one is that the geochronology is based on (?)shipboard paleontology. This should be replaced by the Lazarus scheme (NGDC dataset) at least, but also preferably with a new compilation by LDEO. Since the hypercube integration is computational, any new chronologies can be spliced in efficiently.
  - Not all the descriptive data could be successfully parsed. You can imagine that that is the case with some of the prose used by the describing scientists. On my assessment over 70% are parsed, and with an improved left-hand parser which is near complete, that will rise to over 90%.
  - Only 72 of the numerous possible components/features are listed. Future versions may extend to the complete (but evolving) set that is available from the data and the dbSEABED dictionary.
  - Not all the parameter themes of the CDROM have been incorporated. The most glaring absence is GRAPE, but also not treated yet are:
- The subbottom depths are rendered exactly as given in the DSDP CDROM of input data. A conversion to later schemes may be possible in the future. Notice that by convention the way of placing sections in core lengths was changed at Leg 46.
- The sample depths are only approximately in depth order, but are in strict order by section. Some top and bottom depth values may be reversed, where observers made that error.