

ONERA

THE FRENCH AEROSPACE LAB

return on innovation

www.onera.fr



Comparison of numerical and experimental investigations on the ESD onset in the Inverted Potential Gradient situation in GEO

11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

P. Sarrailh, J.-C. Matéo-Vélez, J.-F. Roussel, B. Dirassen (ONERA) J. Forest, B. Thiébault (ARTENUM) D. Rodgers, A. Hilgers (ESA)





RTENUM, PARIS

return on innovation

Outline

- General overview on the ESD risk
- Experimental setup
- SPIS ESD tool
- Parametric study: comparison experimental/numerical results





General overview on the ESD risk



Spacecraft Charging at GEO in sunlight

- · S/C structure at a negative potential several kV due to electron collection
- Photoemission on solar cell cover glass:
 - Dielectrics in sunlight more positive than S/C structure
 - Barrier of potential on the front side due to rear side negative potential
- This situation is the Inverted Potential Gradient (IPG)



IPG situation at the solar cell scale

- At the solar cell edge:
 - Gap surface covered with (photoconductive) kapton = S/C structure voltage
 - Solar cell voltage ~ S/C structure voltage (strings voltage ~100 V)
 - Cover Glass top surface is more positive than solar cell = differential voltage
- · Triple point between conductor, dielectric and vacuum
- Uses of a simplified geometry (valid for interconnects) to compare experimental and numerical results
 barrier potential



ONERA

11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

Microscopic structure and electron avalanche

Microscopic scale model



11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

Tip geometry and field enhancement factor

- Field enhancement factor from 300 to 800
- Tip length: 1 μm
- Tip radius (from emitting area): 1 10 nm





Figure 5. Variation of field magnification factor with number of breakdowns for different sets of electrodes. Figure 8.

Figure 8. Variation of emitting area at breakdown with number of breakdowns for different sets of electrodes.

• Figure 5 and figure 8 extracted from:

Effect of electrode surface finish on electrical breakdown in vacuum, D W Williams and W T Williams, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. (5), 1972.





Experimental setup



Experimental Setup

- ONERA CEDRE vacuum chamber
 - Pressure: 3.10⁻⁶mbar
 - Barrier potential controlled by V_{bias}
 - Differential voltage by photoemission (as in flight)



Nominal case – Perpendicular TP with Aluminum and Teflon



Nominal case – Perpendicular TP with Aluminum and Teflon

- The voltage on the FEP is close to 0V
- 8 ESDs have been obtained at different locations of the triple point edge
- The ESD threshold is about -800V





Results of the parametric study



ONERA

11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010



SPIS ESD tool



return on innovation

The mock-up geometry and the boundary conditions

- Simulation conditions:
 - Teflon (127 μm) / aluminum (1.5 mm)
 - External box : 4 cm x 4 cm x 0.6 cm
 - TP zone : 1 μm
 - tip length = 1 μm
 - Barrier potential from 500 V to 2000V
 - β from 800 to 300;





SPIS ESD tool

- ESD prediction scenario, two imbricate loops:
 - Barrier potential prediction
 - Tip geometry evaluation: field enhancement factor β loop (for a fixed tip length – 1µm standard)



- Tip model (*tipRecession* interactor):
 - Tip model based on a cylindrical geometry
 - Zero dimensional thermal model (compared to Rossetti)



ESD prediction scenario

Barrier potential and emitted currents



the thirty additionally and

Electron emission : densities in volume



- F-N primary electrons:
 - directed toward the barrier potential
 - Max density can reach 10²⁰ m⁻³ (Space charge effect)
- Secondary electrons are present on the top side of the dielectric due to hoping

ONERA



Parametric study: comparison of the numerical and experimental results



Parametric study – reference case



11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

Parametric study – Sun incidence angle



ONERA

11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

Parametric study – Gap length



Parametric study – Dielectric material



11th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference, Albuquerque, NM, September 20-24, 2010

Lessons to be taken from the experiments and numerical simulations

- The numerical results qualitatively agree with the experiments
 - It is definitely more difficult to trig ESDs in the following cases
 - Low photon incidence angle
 - Longer metallic plate
 - Co-planar geometry
 - More conductive dielectric
- Strong effect of
 - surface resistivity of the front edge
 - Tip geometry (radius and shape)
- Need for complementary experimental data (surface resistivity measurement, surface distribution and type of microscopic structures)





Conclusion



return on innovation

Conclusion

- Large experimental parametric study
- SPIS ESD tool:
 - Complete ESD scenario:
 - 3D Electron avalanche
 - Thermal model of the tip
 - ESD threshold estimation
 - Simulation of the charging and electron avalanche well described
 - Automatically adapted time steps: from 1s to 10⁻¹²s
 - 3 length scales simulated
- Good qualitative agreement between experiments and numerical results

ONER

 Need of further experimental data to provide design engineers with quantitative/predictive ESD risk tool

Perspective

- Experimental investigation on surface resistivity to get more data
- Photo conductivity modeling improvement
- More complex geometry:
 - solar cell edge
 - here, it is close to the interconnects
- Effect of multiple tips (tip distribution on the surface)
- Emission from semi-conductors
- Model the plasma generation during the transition to arc





Questions ?



return on innovation